



Results for

PLUM 20.1

27 September 2016

4 Schools, 11 Teams, Open Division Only

PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS:

- Bethany Lutheran College (BLC)
- Bethel University (BU)
- Dordt College (DOR)
- Simpson College (SIMP)

Preliminary Rounds:

- 3 wins/ 0 losses
 - BU SD (Nathan Strecker and Gabriel Day)
 - BLC WV (Noella Wigtil and Tyler Voigt)
- 2 wins/ 1 loss
 - DOR DD (Courtney De Wolde and Madison De Wolde)
 - SIMP CL (Courtney Craig and Levie Lefebure)
 - SIMP SM (Kelsey Schott and Lydia Magalhaes)
 - SIMP JB (Gable Johnson and Danielle Bates)
- 1 win/ 2 losses
 - DOR SD (Jordan Swanson and Joshua Dorsett)
 - DOR GS (Michel Gomes and Daniel Schruer)
 - SIMP SB (Will Seiler and Sarah Baker)
 - BLC WT (Zeffie Woods and Maren Thompson)
- 0 wins/ 3 losses
 - SIMP SS (Austin Stotts and Evan Sand)

Bronze Round

- SIMP SM (gov) def SIMP JB (No Debate – Coach’s Preference)
- SIMP CL (opp) def DOR DD 2-1

Gold Round:

- BLC WV (gov) def SIMP CL 3-0
- SIMP SM (gov) def BU SD 3-0



Top 5 Speakers (left to right): Zeffie Woods, Bethany (3rd tie), Nathan Strecker, Bethel (3rd tie), Tyler Voigt, Bethany (2nd), Will Seiler, Simpson (5th), Kelsey Schott, Simpson (1st)



Gold Award – Noella Wigtil and Tyler Voigt, Bethany



Gold Award – Kelsey Schott and Lydia Magalhaes, Simpson

Individual Speakers

- Kelsey Schott - SIMP - 85.5
- Tyler Voigt – BLC - 85
- Nathan Strecker – Bethel - 83
- Zeffie Woods – BLC - 83
- Will Seiler – SIMP - 83
- Courtney Craig – SIMP - 82.5
- Joshua Dorsett - Dordt - 82.5
- Michel Gomes- Dordt - 82
- Sarah Baker – SIMP - 81
- Courtney De Wolde 81
- Maren Thompson – BLC - 81
- Lydia Magalhaes – SIMP - 81
- Danielle Bates – SIMP - 80
- Levie Lefebure – SIMP - 79.5
- Gable Johnson– SIMP - 79
- Daniel Schruer - Dordt - 78
- Noella Wigtil – BLC - 77
- Jordan Swanson - Dordt - d76.5
- Gabriel Day – Bethel - 75
- Madison De Wolde 75
- Evan Sand – SIMP - 75
- Austin Stotts – SIMP - 68

Topics

Round 1: This House would fire the moderator.

Round 2: This House believes that homework is a thing of the past.

Round 3: CASE STUDY

Betty is a U.S. Congresswoman running for re-election in her district. Betty has hired Zach, the son of her biggest donor to improve the number of young voters voting for her. Zach has done a great job, having several large rallies for college students that the press seems to love.

The night before a big “town hall” meeting, there is another rally in Plumsville. However, the following morning, most of the lawn signs and bumper stickers of her opponent in Plumsville are missing or vandalized. Concerned this will look bad on her campaign, Betty does some quiet research. It turns out the “rallies” were well-disguised “keggers”. People would get a free cup for the party if they wear t-shirts or hold signs for Betty’s campaign when the press are around. Zach went to great lengths to keep the “kegger” and the rally separated. There is no hard evidence tying the party to the campaign. However, a group of college students did get drunk at the party and stole or defaced the signs of Betty’s opponent.

Betty is unsure if this is how Zach got such large crowds at other rallies. She is worried about what will happen at the town hall meeting tonight. She is also worried how this will affect her relationship with her largest donor.

In this case, the Government team must defend the statement: **Betty should fire Zach.**

Bronze Round: This House would police the police.

Gold Round: This House would give the Affordable Care Act a check-up.

Team Standings after PLUM

1. Simpson College – 31 pts
2. Bethany Lutheran College – 22 pts
3. Bethel University – 18 pts
4. Dordt College – 15 pts